

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/932,553 08/17/2001 James E. Hebert 5160-08 3908 EXAMINER 32658 10/19/2005 7590 · **HOGAN & HARTSON LLP** JUNTIMA, NITTAYA ONE TABOR CENTER, SUITE 1500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1200 SEVENTEEN ST. DENVER, CO 80202 2663

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			.99	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/932,553	HEBERT, JAMES	E.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Nittaya Juntima	2663		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folk places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(iii)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension (2) or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search (see NC		because	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially r		the issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		timely filed amends	ent canceling	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).	anowabie ii Submilleu iii a separate	, milely med amendin	ioni canconny	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a		vill be entered and an	explanation of	

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12.		Note the attached	Information Disclosu	re Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or	PTO-1449) Pa	iper No(s)	
	_							

13. Other: ____

Continuation of 3. NOTE: As shown in Fig. 2 of Tosey, a link test or a ping signal must be (i) sent to a hub A22 in order to be fowarded to another peer network device to test the connectivity from the network device 21 to the hub A22 (col. 7, II 35-43), and (ii) a response (generated by the peer network device) from the hub A22 must be monitored for detection (col. 7, II 44-55). It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., generating a connectivity signal destined to the primary switch, detecting a response signal generated by the primary switch, and wherein the connectivity signal includes an electrical NIC connectivity test signal) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In addition, applicant failed to point out an error in the motivation. Therefore, claims 1-15 stand rejected.